

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/090,771	03/06/2002	Masataka Hasegawa	220158US-2X DIV	7740
22850 7	590 03/27/2003			
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER	
	DUKE STREET KANDRIA, VA 22314		CHEN, BRET P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1762	3
			DATE MAILED: 03/27/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				/				
•	Application No.		Applicant(s)					
	10/090,771		HASEGAWA ET A	(L. 				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	B. Chen		1762					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for R ply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, howe	ever, may a reply be time imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timel he mailing date of this c o (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
 , — , —	nis action is non-fi							
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for for	rmal matters, pr	osecution as to th	ne merits is				
Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayre,	1000 0.0. 11, 4	00 0.0. 210.					
4) Claim(s) 3-6 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-6</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-6</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election require	ment.						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		ed to by the Ever	minor					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	4) 5) 6)		y (PTO-413) Paper N Patent Application (P					

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 3-6 are pending in this application. The preliminary amendment dated 3/6/02 canceling claims 1-2 has been entered.

Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

It is noted that the claimed invention is directed solely to a method. The examiner suggests amending the title to reflect same.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

In claim 3, the term "under conditions" is nonenabling as there is not teaching or guidance to enable one skilled in the art to obtain a given distribution.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/090,771

Art Unit: 1762

In claim 3, the term "high quality" is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "high" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. The same issue applies to claims 4 and 6. In addition, the same issue applies to the term "few" in line 3.

In claim 3 line 3, the term "under conditions" is confusing as to what those conditions are.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kitabatake et al. (5,328,855) or Geis (5,670,788). Kitabatake discloses a method of forming a semiconductor diamond (col.1 lines 7-13) by ion implantation (col.2 lines 3-14). The ion energy is greater than 50 eV (lines 52-53).

Geis discloses a method of forming a diamond cold cathode by ion implantation of diamond (claim 22) in which the ion implantation energy is greater than 50 keV (col.3 lines 28-46). However, the reference fails to teach the claimed energy range.

It is noted that the cited references clearly teach overlapping ranges. Overlapping ranges are *prima facie* evidence of obviousness. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary

Art Unit: 1762

skill in the art to have selected the portion of the prior art reference's range that corresponds to the claimed range

The limitations of claims 4-6 have been addressed above.

Kucherov et al. (5,653,800), Oishi et al. (6,376,276), Heera et al. (6,281,037), and Zeisse et al. (H1287) have been provided for additional information.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to B. Chen whose telephone number is (703) 308-3809. The examiner can normally be reached on 10 hour days.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive Beck can be reached on (703) 308-2333. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

bc

March 22, 2003

BRET CHEN PRIMARY EXAMINES